

## INSPIRING PACKAGING RECYCLING



Extended Producer Responsibility Alliance

### **FOREWORDS**

## 66

The foundations of EXPRA rest on the belief of delivering quality waste management services to all citizens, which are embedded in a sustainable and global waste management vision.

### **FOREWORDS**

Today, within Europe and globally, the linear "take-make-waste" patterns of production and consumption are considered to have had detrimental effects in relation to climate change, resource efficiency and environmental protection. The solution to these challenges is a circular economy where resources are used in an efficient and sustainable way. The Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is an essential part of this approach which the European Commissioni has recognised in the recently published Circular Economy Package.

The Extended Producer Responsibility Alliance (EXPRA) currently embraces 27 members from 25 countries. These include 17 EU Member States (Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain and Sweden), Bosnia and Herzegovina, Canada, Iceland, Israel, Macedonia, Norway, Turkey. and Chile Every year, our members, on behalf of the obliged industry, recover and recycle over 18 million tons of packaging, and provide over 210 million inhabitants with packaging collection, sorting and recycling infrastructure.

EXPRA's members, owned and run by the obliged companies, offer waste management solutions based on the EPR principle. In so doing, they operate on a not-for-profit model since their activities are driven by the industry's public service mission of acting in a socially-responsible manner. This allows EXPRA to deliver services of general economic interest that are both cost and resource-efficient.



Oscar Martin President of EXPRA CEO Ecoembes



Joachim Quoden Managing Director EXPRA



Manager

Over the past four years, EXPRA has become THE voice of EPR schemes in Europe. Our organisation has been recognised as a key stakeholder by the EU institutions, the obliged industry, business associations and NGOs alike.. EXPRA has developed studies and position papers aimed at sharing expert knowledge with European audiences, including policy-makers, in the field of both EPR and packaging waste management.

This brochure explains EXPRA's principles and values, its structure and activities. It also provides key facts and figures relating to members' activities as well as EPR best practice.

We would like to thank everyone involved in the production of this publication, which, we hope, you will find of interest.

### EXPRA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of EXPRA is made up of 8 representatives of the members and is responsible for the overall strategic direction of EXPRA and overseeing its operations to ensure they fulfill the obligations of the Mission of EXPRA:

'To be the umbrella organisation of not-for-profit producer responsibility organisations dealing with used, mainly household, packaging which is owned by the obliged industry. It aims to act as the authoritative voice and common policy platform representing the interests of its member organizations, and to run a network for the exchange of best practices within its members. Finally, it is the promoter of the EPR golden rules and works on the implementation of these rules into European and national legislation.'

The members of the Board are elected for a 2 year period and are led by a President and supported by the work of the Managing Director, who is also member of the Board. The Board receives updates from each of the work stream committees on a regular basis to maintain full oversight of the developments relating to the environments the members operate in.

## PRESENTATION OF THE BOARD OF EXPRA



Oscar Martin EcoEmbes



Joachim Quoden Managing Director EXPRA



Hester Klein Lankhorst Afvalfonds Verpakkingen



Patrick Laeverts
Fost Plus



Zbynek Kozel EKO-KOM



Claude Turping Valorlux



Valter Facciotto CONAI



Mario Schembri Greenpak



Jaana Røine Grønt Punkt Norge

EXPRA members, owned by obliged industry and operating on non for profit basis, work to ensure that the recovery and recycling of packaging waste is conducted in the most economically efficient and ecologically sound manner.

Over the past 25 years, EXPRA 27 members across 25 countries, including 17 EU Member States, have co-organised the collection, sorting and recycling of used packaging (with a focus on household packaging) on behalf of the obliged industry.

Thus In so doing, they fulfil their legal take-back and recycling obligations, serving over 210 million inhabitants and recycling over 18 million tons of packaging per year. EXPRA members also support them on issues related to packaging sustianability.

### PRESENTATION OF EXPRA MEMBERS



BELGIUM Fost Plus Patrick Laeverts



VALIPAC
Francis Huysman



BULGARIA ECOPACK Bulgaria Alexander Urmanov



EKOPAK Amela Hrbat



CANADA Eco-Entreprises Québec Maryse Vermette



Green Dot Cyprus
Marios Vrahimis



CZECH REPUBLII EKO-KOM Zbynek Kozel



ETO Siret Kivilo



FINLAND RINKI Juha-Heikki Tanskapen



GREECE HERRCo Yiannis Razis



Öko Pannon Beata Gonci



ICELAND IRF <u>Ólaf</u>ur Kjartansson



TAMIR
Rani Adler



ITALY CONAI Walter Egociotto



LUXEMBURG Valorlux Claude Turpin



MACEDONIA Pakomak Filip Ivanovski



MALTA GreenPak Mario Schembri



NORWAY Grønt Punkt Jaana Røine



ECO-ROM Ambalaje Bogdan Ureche



SLOVAKIA ENVI-PAK Hana Novakova



SLOVENIA Slopak Srečko Bukovec



SPAIN
EcoEmbes
Oscar Martin Riva



SPAIN Ecovidrio José Manuel Nuñez-Lagos



SWEDEN FTI Helena Nylén



NETHERLANDS Nedvang Hester Klein



TURKEY CEVKO Mete İmer

## PRESENTATION OF EXPRA MEMBERS













BELGIUM

BELGIUM

**BULGARIA** 

B<sub>8</sub>H

CANADA

**CYPRUS** 













**FINLAND** 

GREECE

**HUNGARY** 

**ICELAND\*** 

**ISRAEL** 

**ITALY** 













**LUXEMBURG** 

**MACEDONIA** 

**MALTA** 

NORWAY

**ROMANIA** 

**SLOVENIA** 













SLOVAKIA

SPAIN

SPAIN

**SWEDEN** 

**NETHERLANDS** 

TURKEY



Below you will find an outline for how EPR organisations can achieve the best environmental and economic results.

The of Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is based on the principle that so-called 'obliged companies' take responsibility for the end-of-life management of the products they put on the market. For (household) packaging, EPR ensures that the entire packaging chain is optimized and that packaging is developed in a more sustainable manner.

To achieve the best results - both economically and environmentally - the EPR organisation should be owned by the obliged companies and run on a not-for-profit basis. The table below outlines what EXPRA considers as ten key principles for EPR.

The essence of EPR is the producer's responsibility Successful EPR must be based on a partnership for a product throughout its life cycle between public authorities and EPR providers There should be a level playing field for the EPR compliance schemes should be run provision of EPR services in a given territory and steered by the obliged companies Obliged companies should receive equal EPR compliance schemes should be treatment and share the allocation of EPR costs not-for-profit / profit-not-for-distribution Collectors, sorters or recyclers of waste The industry-owned EPR organisation should should not be active as EPR systems pursue a public service mission EPR organisations should support obliged There should be a strong EPR legal companies to improve the environmental framework enforced by public authorities performance of their products and packaging

1.

### THE ESSENCE OF EXTENDED PRODUCER RESPONSIBILITY (EPR)

According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), EPR is "an environmental policy approach in which a producer's responsibility for a product is extended to the postconsumer stage of a product's life cycle".

It means that companies who put products on the market are obliged to collect and recycle these products and their packaging once they have reached their end-of-life stage. In this sense, it can be seen as a practical way of implementing the 'producer pays principle'.

In order to ensure that the products and their packaging are appropriately dealt with once they become waste, the obliged companies set up an EPR organisation to finance, organise, and co-ordinate the collection and recycling of the waste, using the services of licensed waste management companies.

The obliged companies receive a mandate from the authorities to shift their individual responsibility to the EPR organisation, which then becomes responsible and organizes the practical implementation.

2.

### THE EPR ORGANISATION SHOULD BE RUN AND CONTROLLED BY THE OBLIGED COMPANIES

The EPR organisation should be founded, run, financed and controlled by the obliged companies themselves. It is the best guarantee to ensure the lowest cost to society and that the scheme will be both sustainable and compliant with environmental and lead objectives.

When obliged companies financing the EPR organisation are sitting in the boards and committees of this organisation they have the control about the expenses for all operations of the EPR organisation and will keep them in their own interest to the necessary

Moreover, they can agree to dedicate funding to necessary long term projects like education campaigns to increase the long term performance of the system which would not be run in case of multiple systems competing just on price.

To achieve this, the obliged companies should not only pay a contribution to the EPR organisation, but they should also be actively represented in the EPR organisation.

3.

### NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANISATION

The EPR organisation should be a not-for-profit or profit-not-for-distribution body. There are several reasons why this is the preferred structure:

- It ensures non-discrimination among all of the obliged companies. A distribution of profit to the founding members/shareholders would constitute discrimination against non-shareholders.
- It also ensures that small and medium size participants receive full and equal service and that the EPR organisation does not just focus on big clients.
- It ensures that every obliged company has a right to join the system and is not been refused.
- It ensures that the interests of the consumers/inhabitants are served and that general interest objectives such as education, prevention, and communication will be pursued. This is especially important in the case of household packaging. Any for-profit company will make all possible efforts to avoid these costs which are on a short term scale not necessary to fulfill the minimum targets of the respective legislation.

4.

### WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPANIES AND/OR INVESTORS CANNOT BE EPR ORGANISATIONS AND VICE VERSA

The natural inclination of investors and waste management companies in an open market is to maximise profit and grow market share - a method that strives for the highest price per tonne of collected and recycled material. Moreover, investors and waste management companies have an interest in increasing the amount of packaging put on the market. This, of course, is contrary to the legal objectives of the waste hierarchy and thereby creates a conflict with serving the public interest.

The focus of the EPR organisation on the other hand is to fulfil the obliged company's obligations in the most efficient and effective way possible. In other words, at the lowest possible cost for the obliged companies and society in general. The EPR organisation should work in close collaboration with the local authorities and negotiate and tender in an open market for collection, sorting, and recycling services.

The legal framework should be set up in such a way that the role of waste management companies is focused on and restricted to the supply of the highest quality services. On the one hand, waste management companies should not interfere in the execution of the EPR. On the other, the EPR organisation should not enter into the collection, sorting, and recycling process itself. Both parties have a distinct and separate role to play in the fulfilment of the EPR - preferably based on a close partnership.

5.

### STRONG GOVERNMENTAL SUPPORT AND MONITORING

Public authorities have a key role to play in the enforcement of the FPR

The national legislation should not only create an effective and efficient legal framework for the implementation of the EPR, it should also dedicate the necessary resources to fulfill its objectives. In this respect, special and exclusive rights can be granted to a single EPR organisation. In any case the national legislator should set out clear and high criteria for the accreditation of EPR organisations.

In their auditing role, public authorities should enforce this legal framework so that it ensures a qualitative implementation of the EPR, i.e. by using meaningful enforcement procedures to close loopholes and trace free riders. The public authorities should also develop a sound supporting policy. A compulsory Pay-As-You-Throw (PAYT) system on residual household waste can for example work as an incentive for the inhabitants to sort their household packaging waste. They should also refrain from establishing any counter-productive regulations or measures such as packaging taxes and deposit schemes which could impede the execution of the EPR.

6.

### ROLE OF MUNICIPALITIES/LOCAL AUTHORITIES

A close partnership between the local authorities and the EPR organisation, based on mutual trust, is a condition sine qua non for the success and the environmental sustainability of the EPR.

Municipalities have several roles to play. Many of these roles depend upon the product/waste flow itself.

For example, when the flow concerns household packaging for high-volume, fast moving consumer goods, municipalities play an important role in the set-up and management of door-to-door collections and/or bring or collection point centers.

In this respect, local authorities and the EPR organisation have to agree on the most appropriate collection system, taking into account both local particularities and conformity with national and European requirements.

The local authorities and the EPR organisation should also actively cooperate in local public communication and awareness programmes, data gathering and monitoring, the control of the waste management operators, and the tendering for collection services.

7.

### SINGLE OR MULTIPLE EPR ORGANISATIONS?

Having a single EPR organisation responsible for a national territory - organising the collection and recycling of a product category for all obliged companies within national boundaries - has many advantages.

A single EPR organisation ensures that

- The government can execute effective and efficient control
- Obliged companies are treated in a nondiscriminatory manner
- An efficient functioning of the market is created, enabling the lowest societal cost for collection, sorting and recycling.
- Effective national and local awareness and communication campaians are run.
- Reliable data on the collection, sorting, and recycling of packaging waste can be obtained.

When multiple EPR organisations are in simultaneous operation, it should be noted that the principle of competition regarding the collection of household packaging seldom functions ideally.

This is because those who receive the service (inhabitants) do not choose the EPR organisation (which is selected by the obliged companies).

In countries with multiple EPR organisations - in some cases up to 40 in the same country - it has been observed that the organisations tend to cherry-pick. That means is, they concentrate on the easiest material to collect and recycle. Moreover, public authorities have greater difficulty monitoring the EPR organisations and the obliged companies and avoiding and penalizing free riding.

Also, for each authorized EPR organisation it becomes more or less impossible to monitor the reports of those obliged companies participating in their system as they might participate with remaining packaging in another EPR organisation. Therefore, usually the number of free riders in countries with multiple EPR organisations is higher than in a country with a single organisation.

Competition must however be assured at the level of the waste management activities, meaning on the level of collection, sorting and recycling, which represent over 80% of a successful EPR organisation's total cost.

In any case, EPR should never be reduced to a shopping list of a large number of so-called EPR organisations owned by waste operators and investors, making profits at the expense of and to the detriment of the obliged companies, the environment, and society in general.

8.

### SUSTAINABLE FINANCING BASED ON JOINT FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

The EPR organisation must be set up in such a way that all necessary finances are provided for an effective implementation in compliance with the legal framework. The financial contribution of the obliged companies should also be significant enough compared to the total cost. This gives them a strong position in discussions with local authorities regarding the most appropriate collection system to be employed

The financial contribution of each obliged company must be calculated based upon the amount and type of packaging they put on the market and the real cost of operations - including awareness campaigns and potential revenues from the secondary raw material market. This ensures that all obliged companies receive equal treatment and share a fair allocation of the costs.

9

### PUBLIC SERVICE MISSION AND PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

The EPR organisation should pursue - as part of its statutory purpose - a public service mission regarding the collection, recovery, and recycling of household packaging waste. This means the organisation should serve a higher purpose - realising an environmentally and economically sustainable recycling society, which benefits the inhabitants of the country.

In this respect, the EPR organisation should engage itself in raising awareness about sorting and recycling among the inhabitants and provide support for educational programmes. It should also develop adequate programmes and actions for 'away from home' consumption of household packaging waste.

The EPR organisation should implement transparent and efficient procurement procedures for contracting waste treatment operators such as collectors, sorters, and recyclers. It should observe the principles of equality and neutrality at all times.

10.

### PACKAGING OPTIMISATION AND PREVENTION

The EPR organisation should help the obliged companies to improve the environmental performance of their products and their packaging by providing advice and information on packaging optimisation.

Packaging optimisation efforts include improved design of the combined product/packaging, guaranteeing the greatest functionality and longest life, while using safe materials and a minimum of raw materials and resources.

Through its co-ordination efforts, the EPR organisation functions as a 'bridge' between the obliged companies and the recyclers. This ensures that the obliged companies gain insight into the recyclability of their packaging and this enables them to take the end-of-life treatment into account during the design of the packaging.

### EXPRA WORKING GROUPS

EXPRA has a Regulatory Affairs Committee, three specialised Working Groups and a Communication Platform.

These are presented below.

### REGULATORY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

The regulatory Affairs Committee (RAC) stimulates uniform, high standard yet practical legislation in the field of packaging and packaging waste in Europe. The RAC analyses all legislation in Europe that has an effect on packaging and packaging waste management, and draws and executes strategic plans on the positioning EXPRA should take.

The RAC also exchanges information with producers and importers of packed products.



Romina Giovannetti Ecoembes Committee Chair



Amanda Fuso Nerini CONAI



Hana Novakova ENVI-PAK



Beáta Gönci Öko Pannon



Aurelia Lew Fost Plus



Claude Turping Valorlux



Sorin Dumitru Eco Rom Ambalaje



Evripidis Dimou HERRCo



Mario Schembri GreenPak



Paul Christiaens Afvalfonds Verpakkingen



Sandra Anguiano Ecovidrio

### TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP

The Technical working group (TWG) stimulates the optimal functioning of collection, sorting and recycling of packaging waste on an operational and cost basis. The TWG gathers, pools and shares information on the collection, sorting and recycling of packaging waste. The information is shared with both members of EXPRA (best practices) and other stakeholders (demonstrated expertise), particularly the producers and importers of packed products.



Mike Jefferson (Chair)



Amanda Fuso Nerini CONAI



Hana Novakova ENVI-PAK



Filip Ivanovski Pakomak



Laura Garcia Campo Ecovidrio



Mik Van Gaever Fost Plus



Petr Balner EKO-KOM



Rani Aidler TAMIR



Roman Vandak ENVI-PAK



Paul Christiaens Afvalfonds Verpakkingen



Vassilis Makridis HERRCo

# SUSTAINABILITY & PACKAGING WORKING GROUP

The Sustainability and Packaging working group (S&P WG) stimulates the activities of producers and importers of packed products towards the eco-design/design for recycling of their packaging. The S&PWG gathers, pools and exchanges information on the activities regarding packaging prevention. The information is shared with both members of EXPRA

(best practices) and other stakeholders (demonstrated expertise), particularly with producers and importers of packed products. The Sustainability and Packaging working group looks to communicate information to stakeholders on eco-design innovations and examples of packaging prevention best practices.



Amanda Fuso Nerini Conai WG Chair



Claude Turping Valorlux



Jorge Serrano Pacheco Ecoembes



Beata Gönci (silent) Öko Pannon



Johannes Daae Green Dot Norway



Ivana Pleskova



Elise Laferrière



Karen van der Stadt Nedvang/Kennis



Lieven Capon Fost Plus

Merike Koger ETO

# DATA, REPORTING AND BENCHMARKING WORKING GROUP

The Data and Reporting Working Group (DRWG) aims to support the development of a level playing field in data, information and statistics on packaging and packaging waste. The DRWG gathers, pools, and analyses information about performance, operations and costs of extended producer responsibility for packaging. The information is shared with both members of EXPRA (best practices) and other stakeholders (demonstrated expertise), particularly producers and importers of packed products.



Paul Christiaens Afvalfonds Verpakkingen (Chair)



Petr Šiký EKO KOM



Daniel Moradiellos Zapico Eco Embes



Marte Ohnstad Kittilsen GD Norway



Nadia Put**t**emans Fost Plus



Alphan Erozturk CEVKO



Tassos Arvanitis Herrco

### KEY FACTS ABOUT EXPRA MEMBERS

Facts and Figures about EXPRA members\*

Information is based on 2019 data
In case of exception the referrence year is shown in the footnote

## BELGIUM





asbl Fost Plus vzw
Avenue des Olympiades 2
BE-1140 Brussel
T: +32 2 775 03 50

E: fostplus@fostplus.be www.fostplus.be

COUNTRY	BELGIUM	
Name of the system	Fost Plus	
Founded	1994	
Scope of business	Household packaging	
Number of authorized Household systems	1	
Financial share of producer responsibility	full costs	
Operational responsibility for collection	Local Authorities	
Operational responsibility for sorting	(usually via call for tender	
Operational responsibility for marketing	Fost Plus	
Number of contributing companies	4723	
Income from obliged companies	124 million €	
Coverage of the country (territory)	100 %	
Number of inhabitants	11,4 mil	
- with access to infrastructure	11,4 mil	
Costs per included inhabitant	10,84€	
Cost average per recovered ton	165 €	

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACKAGING
PUT ON THE MARKET

881 kt

## **BELGIUM**

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM

789 k

	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
326 kt = 100 %	Glass
per 193 kt = 87 %	Paper
97 kt = 42 %	Plastics
tes 16 kt = 94 %	Composites (including PMD residue from the blue bags)
ate 72 kt = 93 %	Tinplate
included in tinplate	Aluminum

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	727 kt = 83 %
Glass	326 kt = 100 %
Paper	193 kt = 87 %
Plastics *mechanical recycling	<b>97</b> kt = 42 %
Composites	16 kt = 94 %
Tinplate	72 kt = 93 %
Aluminum	included in tinplate

OTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

2,7%

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a







COLLECTION	
Glass	Collected via banks* *separated by color
Paper	Collected together with newspapers *mostly via curbside collection, but also collection stations
Plastics	Mostly by curbside collection with transparent bags
Beverage Cartons	*(Blue PMD bag) and also in some cases by container stations
Metal packaging	Idem (+ From MSW, recycling bottom ashes forom incineration platns (all MSW is incinerated)

## **BELGIUM**





VAL-I-PAC

Avenue Reine Astrid 59 bte 11

1780 Wemmel

T: +32 (0)2 456 83 10

F: +32 (0)2 456 83 20

E: info@valipac.be

www.valipac.be

COUNTRY	BELGIUM
Name of the system	Valipac
Founded	1997
Scope of business	Promote & coordinate the recycling of industrial packaging waste
Number of authorized Industrial systems	1
Financial share of producer responsibility	mixed
Operational responsibility for collection	Private waste collectors
Operational responsibility for sorting	Unpackers
Operational responsibility for marketing	Valipac
Number of contributing companies	5927
Income from obliged companies	13,4 mil €
Coverage of the country (territory)	100 %
Number of inhabitants	-
- with access to infrastructure	irrelevant
Costs per included inhabitant	n/a
Cost average per recovered ton	19€/ton

## **BELGIUM**

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACKAGING PUT ON THE MARKET

750050 tons

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM

n/a

O PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY 88,8%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCEN OF RECO
Paper 100 %	
Plastics 88,7%	P
Metals 78,1%	
Wood 100 %	
Other 14,4 %	

89,4 %	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
100 %	Paper
53,9 %	Plastics *mechanical recycling
78,1%	Metals
87,3%	Wood

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

FOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a







COLLECTION	
Glass	n/a
Paper	n/a
Plastics	n/a
Beverage cartons	n/a
Metal packaging	n/a

### BULGARIA





ECOPACK Bulgaria Jsc

60 Tzarigradsko Shousse Blvd.

1750 Sofia, Bulgaria

T: +359 2 401 91 00, +359 2 975 19 25

F: +359 2 401 91 01, +359 2 975 19 26

E: office@ecopack.bg

www.ecopack.bg

COUNTRY	BULGARIA	
Name of the system	ECOPACK	
Founded	2004	
Scope of business	All Packaging	
Number of authorized Household systems	5	
Financial share of producer responsibility	Full costs	
Operational responsibility for collection	ECOPACK	
Operational responsibility for sorting	ECOPACK	
Operational responsibility for marketing	ECOPACK	
Number of contributing companies	1154	
Income from obliged companies	8,206 MII EUR	
Coverage of the country (territory)	33.20%	
Number of inhabitants	6 951 482	
- with access to ECOPACK infrastructure	2.543 milion.	
Costs per included inhabitant	3,32 €	
Cost average per recovered ton	79€	

### **BULGARIA**

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	406 kt
(by ECOPACK clients)	170,114 kt

PARTICIPATING IN	
THE SYSTEM	13,323 kt

107,033 kt = 62,92%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
24,869 kt = 60,11%	Glass
50,102 kt = 88,32%	Paper
20,065 kt = 45,7 %	Plastics
Included in paper	Composites
5,106 kt = 54,68%	Tinplate
Included in tinplate	Aluminum
6,891 kt = 49,77%	Wood

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

N/A

OTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

N/A







COLLECTION	
Glass	Green containers, no color separation
Paper	Blue containers
Plastics	Yellow containers
Beverage cartons	Included in blue containers
Metal packaging	Included in yellow containers

## EXPRA MEMBERS BOSNIA & HERZEGOVINA





EKOPAK d.o.o.

1Zmaja od Bosne 7-7a, Objekat 03/6 Importanne center 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

T: 033 921 934

F: 033 921 935

E: info@ekopak.ba

www.ekopak.ba

COUNTRY	BOSNIA & HERZEGOVINA
Name of the system	Ekopak
Founded	2011 (Operational from May 2012
Scope of business	PWM
Number of authorized Household systems	3
Financial share of producer responsibility	Full costs
Operational responsibility for collection	Local Authorities
Operational responsibility for sorting	Local Authorities
Operational responsibility for marketing	Ekopak / Marketing Agency
Number of contributing companies	800
Income from obliged companies	0,54million€
Coverage of the country (territory)	51 %
Number of inhabitants	3,5 million
- with access to Ekopak infrastructure	250 k
Costs per included inhabitant	8,74€
Cost average per recovered ton	28,35 €

### EXPRA MEMBERS BOSNIA & HERZEGOVINA

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	150 kt
(by Ekopack clients)	n/a

	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
n/a	Glass
n/a	Paper
n/a	Plastics
193 t	Composites
n/a	Tinplate
n/a	Aluminum

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	13,17 kt
Glass	1,062 t
Paper	9,058 t
Plastics	1,451 t
Composites	n/a
Tinplate	n/a
Aluminum	263 t (METAL)







COLLECTION	
Glass	1,275 t
Paper	11,.775 t
Plastics	1,886 t
Beverage cartons	
Metal packaging	316 t

### CANADA



Éco Entreprises Québec 1600, René-Lévesque Blvd. West Suite 600 Montréal (Québec) H3H 1P9 Phone: 514 987-1491

E: service@ecoentreprises.qc.ca www.ecoentreprises.qc.ca

CANADA	COUNTRY	
Éco Entreprises Québe	Name of the system	
2003	Founded	
All Packaging	Scope of business	
1	Number of authorized Household systems	
Total net costs	Financial share of producer responsibility	
Municipalities	Operational responsibility for collection	
Municipalities	Operational responsibility for sorting	
Sorting centers	Operational responsibility for marketing	
3100	Number of contributing companies	
89 million €	Income from obliged companies	
99%	Coverage of the country (territory)	
8,5 million	Number of inhabitants	
8,43 million	- with access to infrastructure	
10,56 € / inhabitant	Costs per included inhabitant	
162€	Cost average per recovered ton (for containers and packaging only)	

771 kt	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	
632 kt	(thereof household packaging)	
632 kt	HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM	
446 kt = 58%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	
138 kt = 79%	Glass*	
176 kt = 65%	Paper packaging	
85 kt = 35%	Plastics*	
22kt = 53%	Composites	
included in aluminium	Tinplate	
2 kt = 21%	Aluminum*	
23 kt = 62%	Steel containers	
52 %	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	
n/a	Glass	
n/a	Paper	
n/a	Plastics	
n/a	Composites	
n/a	Tinplate	
n/a	Aluminum*	



TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/c





COLLECTION	
Glass	Curbside recycling collection
Paper	Curbside recycling collection *together with printed paper
Plastics	Curbside recycling collection
Beverage cartons	Included in paper
Metal packaging	Curbside recycling collection

<sup>\*</sup>In Quebec, beer and soft drinks, either in glass, plastic or aluminum containers, are subject to a deposit system, thus excluded from curbside recycling compensation plan.

### **CYPRUS**





Green Dot (Cyprus) Public Co Ltd 229 Tseriou Avenue, 2047 Strovolos, Nicosia P.O.Box 25463 1310 Nicosia Cyprus

T: +357 22 586020

F: +357 22 586001

E: admin@greendot.com.cy www.greendot.com.cy

COUNTRY	CYPRUS
Name of the system	Green Dot Cyprus
Founded	2004
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	1
Financial share of producer responsibility	100%
Operational responsibility for collection	Green Dot Cyprus
Operational responsibility for sorting	Green Dot Cyprus
Operational responsibility for marketing	Green Dot Cyprus
Number of contributing companies	1167
Income from obliged companies	4,299,257 €
Coverage of the country (territory)	80%
Number of inhabitants	0,84 million
- with access to infrastructure	0,67 million
Costs per included inhabitant	9,34€
Cost average per recovered ton	100 €

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	100 kt
(thereof household packaging)	75 kt

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN
THE SYSTEM 54 k

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	60,144 kt = 79%
Glass	8,852 kt = 47%
Paper	30,481 kt = 128%
Plastics	6,891 kt = 40%
Composites	n/a
Tinplate	8,518 kt = 243%
Aluminum	484 kt = 16%

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	57,238 kt =75,3 %
Glass	8,852 kt = 47%
Paper	30,481 kt = 128%
Plastics *mechanical recycling	6,891 kt = 40%
Composites	n/a
Tinplate	8,518 kt = 243%
Aluminum	484 kt = 16%

### **CYPRUS**

FOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a







COLLECTION	
Glass	Iglu stations, 1 bin/600 cap
Paper	Brown bags door to door* "together with newspapers magazines & office paper
Plastics	Transparent bag door to door
Beverage cartons	Transparent bag door to door
Metal packaging	Transparent bag door to door

### CZECH REPUBLIC





EKO-KOM, a.s.

Na Pankráci 1685/17140 21 Prague 4

Czech Republic

T: +420 729 848 111

F: +420 729 848 119

E: info@ekokom.cz

www.ekokom.cz

COUNTRY	Czech Republic
Name of the system	EKO KOM
Founded	1997
Scope of business	Household and commercial
Number of authorized Household systems	1
Financial share of producer responsibility	Full costs for packaging waste (standardized costs)
Operational responsibility for collection	Local authorities
Operational responsibility for sorting	Local authorities
Operational responsibility for marketing	Local authorities
Number of contributing companies	21,197
Income from obliged companies	80,87 million€
Coverage of the country (territory)	
Number of inhabitants	10,69 million
- with access to infrastructure	10,63 million
Costs per included inhabitant	7,61€
Cost average per recovered ton	89,15 €

	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET
ging) <mark>n/a</mark>	(thereof household packaging)
	HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM
	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
lass   184 kt = 79%	Glass
aper 448 kt = 88%	Paper
stics 173 kt = 69%	Plastics
included in paper (BC) & plastics	Composites
	Tinplate
included in tinplate	Aluminum
	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
lass 184 kt = 79%	Glass
aper 448 kt = 88%	Paper
stics 173 kt = 69%	Plastics
included in paper (BC) 8 plastics	Composites
	Tinplate
num included in tinplate	Aluminum

## CZECH REPUBLIC

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/c







COLLECTION	
Glass	Container stations* *color separation
Paper	Collected together with newspapers* *container stations
Plastics	Container stations
Beverage Cartons	Container stations* included in paper
Metal packaging	Collected via recycling stations*  *collection usually via recycling stations run by local authorities, partly collected via container stations

#### **ESTONIA**





Eesti Taaskasutusorganisatsioon MTÜ Mustamäe tee 24 10621 Tallinn Estonia

T: +372 640 32 40

F: + 372 640 32 48

E: eto@eto.ee

www.eto.ee

COUNTRY	ESTONIA
Name of the system	Eesti Taaskasutusorganisatsioon MTÜ (ETO)
Founded	2004
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	3
Financial share of producer responsibility	30%
Operational responsibility for collection	Waste management
Operational responsibility for sorting	companies via tenders
Operational responsibility for marketing	ETO system
Number of contributing companies	1020
Income from obliged companies	3 830 451€
Coverage of the country (territory)	99%
Number of inhabitants	1 328 976 (2020)
- with access to infrastructure	1 315 686
Costs per included inhabitant	2,87 €
Cost average per recovered ton	131,01 €
Cost average per recovered ton	131,01€

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING	
PUT ON THE MARKET	45 911 t
(thereof household packaging)	59%
HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM	99%
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	28 525,617t = 62,13%
Glass	4 542,754t = 73,2%
Paper	11 227,774t = 70,75%
Plastics	5 827,592t = 58,83%
Composites	not collected separately
Tinplate	1 157,361t = 62,52%
Aluminum	Included in tinplate
Wood	n/a
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	28 525,617 t = 55,6%
Glass	4 542,754 t = 73,2%
Paper	11 227,774t = 70,75%
Plastics* *mechanical recycling	5 827,592t = 58,83%
Composites	not collected separately
Tinplate	1 157,361t = 62,52%
Aluminum	Included in tinplate
Wood	n/a

#### **ESTONIA**

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a







COLLECTION	
Glass	Green containers
Paper	Blue containers
Plastics Bottles	Yellow container
Flasks, Beverage	Yellow container
Metal packaging	Yellow containers

#### **FINLAND**





Finnish Packaging Recycling RINKI Ltd

Tynnyrintekijänkatu 1 C

FI-00580 HELSINKI, Finland

T: +3589 616 230

E: info@rinkiin.fi

http://www.rinkiin.fi

COUNTRY	FINLAND
Name of the system	Finnish Packaging Recycling RINKI Ltd
Founded	1997
Scope of business	Consumer packaging collection
Number of authorized Household systems	1
Financial share of producer responsibility	100 %
Operational responsibility for collection	RINKI, local authorities, private waste management companies
Operational responsibility for sorting	RINKI, local authorities
Operational responsibility for marketing	RINKI and Producer organizations
Number of contributing companies	4500
Income from obliged companies	24,2 million
Coverage of the country (territory)	100 % (excluding Aland)
Number of inhabitants	5,5 million
- with access to infrastructure	5,5 million
Costs per included inhabitant	4,4€
Cost average per recovered ton	n/a

	OUN	PACK	AGING
		ARKET	$\Gamma(2015)$

742 445 t (2018

742,445 t (2018)	PUT ON THE MARKET (2015)
not reported	HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM
n/a	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (2015)
n/a	Glass
n/a	Paper
n/a	Plastics
n/a	Composites
n/a	Tinplate
n/a	Aluminum
n/a	Metals
520 789 t = 70 %	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING (2015)
79 251 t = 99%	Glass
300 094 t = 116%	Paper
42 008 t = 31%	Plastics* *mechanical recycling
Included in paper	Composites
46 660 t = 90%	Metals
Included in metal	Tinplate
Included in metal	Aluminum

## **FINLAND**

FOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINFRATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a







COLLECTION	
Glass	Curbside collection & recycling stations
Paper	Curbside collection & recycling stations
Plastics bottles and Flasks	Curbside collection & recycling stations
Beverage cartons	Together with paper
Metal packaging	Curbside collection & recycling stations

#### GREECE





HELLENIC RECOVERY RECYCLING CORPORATION

HERRCO (Hellenic Recovery & Recycling Corporation)

Himaras 5

Maroussi 15125, Greece

T: 00 30 210 8010962/ 963

F: 00 30 210 8012272

E: info@herrco.gr

www.herrco.gr

GREECE	COUNTRY
Herrco	Name of the system
1991	Founded
All Packaging	Scope of business
3	Number of authorized Household systems
Additional costs	Financial share of producer responsibility
Local Authority	Operational responsibility for collection
Herrco	Operational responsibility for sorting
Herrco	Operational responsibility for marketing
2,586 (31-12-2019)	Number of contributing companies
19,5 million €	Income from obliged companies
10,1 million	Coverage of the country (territory)
n/a	Number of inhabitants
9,7 million	- with access to infrastructure
2,0 €	Costs per included inhabitant
43,37 €	Cost average per recovered ton

	PACK	AGING
	HE MA	ARKET

808 000

HH PACKAGING	PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM	~50%	

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	n/a
Glass	31,826 = 43%
Paper	307,168 =199%
Plastics	79,600 = 75%
Composites	Included in paper
Tinplate	56,261 = 295%
Aluminum	7,519 = 44 %
Wood	15,174 = 73%

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	n/a
Glass	31,826 = 43%
Paper	307,168 =199%
Plastics	79,600 = 75%
Composites	Included in paper
Tinplate	56,261 = 295%
Aluminum	7,519 = 44 %
Wood	15,174 = 73%

#### **GREECE**

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

808000 t

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)







COLLECTION	
Glass	Co-mingled collection - blue container* *separate bin
Paper	Co-mingled collection - blue container
Plastics	Co-mingled collection - blue container
Beverage cartons	Co-mingled collection - blue container
Metal packaging	Co-mingled collection - blue container

#### HUNGARY





ÖKO-Pannon Nonprofit Kft.

1146 Budapest

Hungária krt. 179-187, Hungary

T: +36-1-383-9305

F: +36-1-383-9306

E: info@okopannon.hu www.okopannon.hu

COUNTRY	HUNGARY *
Name of the system	Öko Pannon
Founded	1996
Scope of business	Lobbying for EPR solution from 2012 (formerly all packaging)
Number of authorized Household systems	0 (State owned 8 run organization)
Financial share of producer responsibility	Packaging Tax
Operational responsibility for collection	National Coordination of Waste Management and Asset Management PLC
Operational responsibility for sorting	(NHKV Plc) in case of household packaging waste
Operational responsibility for marketing	n/a
Number of contributing companies	21 213
Income from obliged companies	123,32 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	90%
Number of inhabitants	10 million
- with access to infrastructure	9,21 million
Costs per included inhabitant	13,38 €
Cost average per recovered ton	not published

\*2018 data

#### HUNGARY

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	1389 kt
(thereof household packaging)	764 kt
HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM	764 kt
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	745,12 kt = 55,1 %
Glass	50,07 kt = 35,3%
Paper	391,56kt = 74,9%
Plastics	192,18 kt = 56,4%
Composites	0,03 kt = 1,3%
Metal	62,63kt = 71,4% tinplate
TOTAL ANALINE AND DESCENTAGE	
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	614,26 kt = 45,4%
Glass	50,07 kt = 35,3%
Paper	352,8 kt = 67,5%
Plastics* *mechanical recycling	102,05 kt = 30%
Composites	0,03 kt = 1,3%
Metal	62,63kt=71,4% tinplate

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)



COLLECTION	
Glass	not published
Paper	not published
Plastic	not published
Beverage Cartons	not published
Metal packaging	not published

#### **ISRAEL**





TAMIR - Packaging Recovery Organization of Israel Ltd (cc). Shenkar 18 st.

Herzliya. P.O.B 2078, Israel.

T: 972-9-8800046

F: 972-9-8866053

E: info@tmir.org.il www.tmir.org.il

COUNTRY	ISRAEL
Name of the system	TAMIR Manufacturers Recycling Corporation in Israel Ltd
Founded	2011 (operation started in 2012)
Scope of business	All packaging except beverage containers under deposit
Number of authorized Household systems	2
Financial share of producer responsibility	100%
Operational responsibility for collection	yes
Operational responsibility for sorting	yes
Operational responsibility for marketing	yes
Number of contributing companies	1630
Income from obliged companies	25,5 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	98%
Number of inhabitants	8,8 million
- with access to infrastructure	n/a
Costs per included inhabitant	2,9 €
Cost average per recovered ton	68€

COLINITRY ISPAFI



TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	434 K (Tamir)
(thereof household packaging)	224 kt

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	330 kt = 87 %
Glass (a special case due to deposit collection of beverage glass containers)	1,6kt = 6,5%
Paper	225kt = 114%
Plastics	42kt = 29,3%
Tinplate / Metal	15kt = 52,7%
Wood	34kt = 298%
Composites	0,03 kt = 1,3%







COLLECTION	
Glass	Purple Container stations *no color separation
Paper	Blue Container station *collected with newspapers
Plastics	Designated metal grid - cages  *via orange bins
Beverage cartons	Orange containers
Metal packaging	Orange containers

#### ITALY





CONAI, Consorzio Nazionale Imballaggi

Via Pompeo Litta, 5

20122 Milano, ITALY

T: +39 (0) 2 54044 1

F: +39 (0) 2 54122648

E: international@conai.org

www.conai.org

COUNTRY	ITALY
Name of the system	CONAI
Founded	1997
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	2
Financial share of producer responsibility	Additional charges
Operational responsibility for collection	Local Authority
Operational responsibility for sorting	Local Authority/Systems
Operational responsibility for marketing	CONAl respective Material Consortia
Number of contributing companies	792035
Income from obliged companies	770 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	64% - 96%
Number of inhabitants	60,24 million
- with access to infrastructure	58 million = 96%
Costs per included inhabitant	13€
Cost average per recovered ton	70€

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	13 655 kt
(thereof household packaging waste)	n/a
HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM	n/a
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	11 037kt = 81 %
Glass	2069 kt = 81%
Paper	4366 kt = 88%
Plastics	2006 kt = 87%
Composites	Included in the paper figures
Tinplate	399 kt = 82%
Aluminum	56 kt = 76%

9,560 kt = 70 %	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
2069 kt = 77%	Glass
3981 kt = 81%	Paper
1054 kt = 45%	Plastics
Included in the paper figures	Composites
399 kt = 82%	Tinplate
51 kt = 70%	Aluminum



TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)







COLLECTION	
Glass	Stationary - mobile waste container
Paper	Stationary - mobile waste container*  *together with newspapers
Plastics	Stationary - mobile waste container
Beverage cartons	Included in paper or plastic
Metal packaging	Included in plastic or glass

#### LUXEMBOURG





VALORLUX A.S.B.L.

9, rue Nicolas Brosius, L-3372 Leudelange

B.P. 26, L-3205 Leudelange, Luxemburg / Luxembourg

T: + 352/37 00 06-1

F: + 352/37 11 37

E: message@valorlux.lu

www.valorlux.lu

Luxembourg
Valorlux
1995
Household packaging + commercia packaging from retallers
1
Total costs for household packaging + financial support for commercial pack
PMC: VALORUX; other packaging local authorities
PMC: VALORUX; other packaging local authorities
PMC: VALORUX; other packaging local authorities
1135
6,61 million €
100%
0,59 million
0,59 million
11,01€
127 €

78,562 kt	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACKAGING PUT ON THE MARKET
	(thereof household packaging)
66,36 kt	HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM
57,83kt = 73,61%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
23,7kt = 66,58%	Glass
18,6kt = 87,31%	Paper
8,13kt = 59,22%	Plastics
1,37kt = 100,15% (beverage cartons only)	Composites
4,19kt = 83,13%	Metals
0,853 kt = 70, 5%	Wood
56,84kt = 72,35%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
23,7 kt= 66.58 %	Glass
18,6 kt= 87.31 %	Paper
8,13kt=59.22 %	Plastics
1,37kt = 100,15% (beverage cartons only)	Composites
4,19kt = 83,3%	Metals
0,853 kt = 70, 5%	Wood

## LUXEMBOURG

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a







COLLECTION	
Glass	Collected via iglu stations, curbside and recycling stations mixed colors
Paper/cardboard	Together with newspapers in bundle collection door to door, cardboard only collected in recycling stations
Plastics Bottles &Plastics Bottles & Beverage Cartons	Collection via blue bag door to door and recycling stations
Metal packaging	n/a
Other plastics	Collection usually via recycling stations run by local authorities

#### R N MACEDONIA





Pakomak - Asset packaging and packaging waste blv, Partizanski odredi, Porta Vlae blok 4, 1000 Skopje,

R. Macedonia

T: 389 2 20 44 567

E: info@pakomak.com.mk

COUNTRY	R N Macedonia
Name of the system	Pakomak
Founded	2010
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	5
Financial share of producer responsibility	46%
Operational responsibility for collection	Pakomak
Operational responsibility for sorting	Public/private companies
Operational responsibility for marketing	Public/private companies
Number of contributing companies	860
Income from obliged companies	1,200,000€
Coverage of the country (population)	75%
Number of inhabitants	2 million
- with access to infrastructure	1,5 million
Costs per included inhabitant	0,4€
Cost average per recovered ton	24€

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACKAGING PUT ON THE MARKET	108 kt total
(thereof household packaging)	n/a
HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM	n/a
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	18,727 kt
Glass	3774 t = 35%
Glass	3774 t = 35% 16,378 t = 105,3%
Paper	16,378 t = 105,3% 3,200 t = 19,8%
Paper  Plastics  *mechanical recycling	16,378 t = 105,3% 3,200 t = 19,8% *from licensed quantities

#### R N MACEDONIA

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

FOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a







COLLECTION	
Glass	HoReCa
Paper	collected in containers* *blue in public areas
Plastics	collected in containers*  *yellow in public places
Beverage Cartons	included in paper
Metal packaging	collected via container*  *yellow in public places

#### MALTA\*



GreenPak Cooperative Society Ltd

St. John Street

Fgura FGR1447, Malta

T: (00356) 21 660233

F: (00356) 21803434

E: info@greenpak.com.mt

www.greenpak.com.mt

COUNTRY	MALTA
Name of the system	GreenPak
Founded	2005
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	2
Financial share of producer responsibility	Total costs
Operational responsibility for collection	GreenPak
Operational responsibility for sorting	GreenPak
Operational responsibility for marketing	GreenPak
Number of contributing companies	1100
Income from obliged companies	1,8 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	70%
Number of inhabitants	0,434 million
- with access to infrastructure	0,311 million
Costs per included inhabitant	4,88€
Cost average per recovered ton	157,13 €

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	90 kt
(thereof household packaging)	67,5 kt

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN  THE SYSTEM 12,8 kt	12,8 kt
---	---------

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	9,7 kt = 58%
Glass	1,3 kt = 31%
Paper	5,1 kt = 79%
Plastics	1,6 kt = 45%
Composites	Included in paper
Tinplate	0,2 kt = 40%
Aluminum	0,2 kt = 44%

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	9,4 kt = 56%
Glass	1,3 kt = 31%
Paper	5,1 kt = 79%
Plastics *mechanical recycling	1,6 kt = 45%
Composites	Included in paper
Tinplate	0,2 kt = 40%
Aluminum	0,2 kt = 44%



TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)







COLLECTION	
Glass	Green containers
Paper	Container stations "together with newspapers
Plastic	Container stations
Beverage Cartons	Included in paper
Metal packaging	Container stations

#### NORWAY



Grønt Punkt Norge

Visiting address: Karenslyst alle 9 A

Postal address: Postbok 91Skøyen,

0212 Oslo, Norway

T: +47 22121500

E: post@grontpunkt.no

www.grontpunkt.no

COUNTRY	Norway
Name of the system	Green Dot Norway
Founded	1997
Scope of business	All Packaging*
Number of authorized Household systems	Two competing systems
Financial share of producer responsibility	Vary for each material, estimated 85%
Operational responsibility for collection	Local Authority
Operational responsibility for sorting	The PRO
Operational responsibility for marketing	The PRO for national campaigns, the municipality for local information
Number of contributing companies	4437
Income from obliged companies	55 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	national
Number of inhabitants	100% of 5,4 million
- with access to infrastructure	5,2 million
Costs per included inhabitant	8,3 €
Cost average per recovered ton	85€
	*except beverage containers under deposit

\*except beverage containers under deposit
\*\*no authorization required, also two deposit systems - one for reusable glass and PET and one for recyclable PET and PE bottles and aluminum cans

558 kt	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET
n/a	(thereof household packaging)
47,000 t for carton 20,000 t for beverage cartor	HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	n/a
Glass	85,000 t = 95%
Paper	209,801 t = 100%
Plastics	129,394 t = 100%
Composite	n/a
Tinplate	14,796 t = 100%
Aluminum	included in tinpla

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	n/a
Glass	85,000 t =95%
Paper	224,112 t = 106,8%
Plastics	52,388 t = 40,5%
Composites	n/a
Tinplate	13,472 t = 91,1%
Aluminum	included in tinplat

#### NORWAY

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/(







COLLECTION	
Glass	Collected via bring stations*  *together with metals (more kerbside collection on the way)
Paper	Collected together with newspapers at home
Plastics	Collected via curbside collection and bring stations
Beverage Cartons	Included in paper
Metal packaging	Collected via bring stations together with glass

#### ROMANIA





ECO-ROM AMBALAJE

51-55, 1 Mai Blvd.

Bucharest, 061629, Romania

T: +4021 413 08 44

F: +4021 413 08 57

E: contact@ecoromambalaje.ro

www.ecoromambalaje.ro

Romania	COUNTRY
Eco-Rom Ambalaje	Name of the system
2003	Founded
All Packaging	Scope of business
12	Number of authorized Household systems
Net costs for municipal packaging waste market-based prices for industrial-comm packaging	Financial share of producer responsibility
Local Authority and waste generators	Operational responsibility for collection
Local Authority	Operational responsibility for sorting
Waste collectors	Operational responsibility for marketing
642	Number of contributing companies
4,3 million €	Income from obliged companies
national	Coverage of the country (territory)
≈20 million	Number of inhabitants
n/a	- with access to infrastructure
n/a	Costs per included inhabitant
122,85€	Cost average per recovered ton

41, 619 t	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACKAGING PUT ON THE MARKET
25,362 t	(thereof household packaging)
61 %	HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM
25,246 t = 61%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
6,439 t = 69%	Glass
10,102 t = 67% Including drink cartons	Paper
6,247 t = 58%	Plastics
Included in paper	Composites
584 t = 102%	Tinplate
226 t = 23%	Aluminum
1,648 t = 35%	Wood
23,098 t = 56%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
6,439 t = 69%	Glass
9,138 t = 60%	Paper
5,217 t = 48%	Plastics* *mechanical recycling
Included in paper	Composites
584 t = 102%	Tinplate
226 t = 23%	Aluminum
1,494 t = 31%	Wood

#### ROMANIA

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a





COLLECTION	
Glass	Green container stations
Paper	Blue container stations
Plastics	Yellow container stations and bags
Beverage Cartons	Yellow container stations and bags
Metal packaging	Yellow container stations and bags

#### SLOVAKIA





ENVI-PAK, a. s.

Galvaniho 7/B

82104 Bratislava 2, Slovensko

T: +421 2 333 227 10

F: +421 2 335 200 10

E: www.envipak.sk

envipak@envipak.sk

COUNTRY	SLOVAKIA
Name of the system	ENVI-PAK
Founded	2003
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	10
Financial share of producer responsibility	Full net cost system
Operational responsibility for collection	Local Authority
Operational responsibility for sorting	Local Authority
Operational responsibility for marketing	ENVI-PAK
Number of contributing companies	3106
Income from obliged companies	20,62 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	46,40%
Number of inhabitants	5,445,087
- with access to infrastructure	2,526,492
Costs per included inhabitant	n/a
Cost average per recovered ton	n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	491,582 t 233,690 t by ENVI-PACK
(thereof household packaging)	n/a
HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM	n/a
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	n/a
Glass	28,985 t = 10,01%
Paper	76,894 t = 79,80%
Plastics	36,639 t = 54,31%
Composites	716 t = 20,61%
Metal	11,507 t = 58,40%
Wood	4,300 t = 49,76%
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	n/a
Glass	25,985 t = 70,01%
Paper	76,894 t = 79,80%
Plastics* *mechanical recycling	33,003 t = 48,92%
Composites	549 t = 15,81%
Metal	11,507 t = 58,40%
	3,889 t = 45%

#### SLOVAKIA

OTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF

n/c

FOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)





COLLECTION	
Glass	Bring and curbside collection
Paper	Bring and curbside collection together with newspapers
Plastic	Bring and curbside collection
Beverage Cartons	Bring and curbside collection* *mostly together with plastic
Metal packaging	Bring and curbside collection* *mostly together with plastic

#### SLOVENIA



Slopak d.o.o.

Vodovodna cesta 100 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

T: +386 15600 250

F: +386 15600 260

E: www.slopak.si

slopak@slopak.si

COUNTRY	SLOVENIA
Name of the system	SLOPAK d.o.o.
Founded	2002
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	6
Financial share of producer responsibility	Shared
Operational responsibility for collection	Local authorities & PROs
Operational responsibility for sorting	PROS
Operational responsibility for marketing	PROs
Number of contributing companies	682
Income from obliged companies	2,542,908 €
Coverage of the country (territory)	99,98%
Number of inhabitants	2,094,060
- with access to infrastructure	2,094,060
Costs per included inhabitant	n/a
Cost average per recovered ton	99,98€

	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET
ling)	(thereof household packaging)
	HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM
	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
lass <b>8,358 t = 109%</b>	Glass
aper 3,836 t = 38%	Paper
stics 3,218 t = 31%	Plastics
ites Included in plastics	Composites
tals 1,647 t = 39%	Metals
late Included in metals	Aluminum/Tinplate
	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
lass <b>8,358 t = 109</b> %	Glass
aper 3,751 t = 37%	Paper
tics* 2,573 t = 25%	Plastics* *mechanical recycling
ites Included in plastics	Composites
tals 1,647 t = 39%	Metals
late Included in metals	Tinplate
um Included in metals	Aluminum

## SLOVENIA

OTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF

n/c

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION







COLLECTION	
Glass	Container stations
Paper	Container stations
Plastics	Container stations
Beverage Cartons	Together with plastic & metal pack.
Metal packaging	

#### SPAIN





Ecoembalajes España Paseo de la Castellana, 83-85 (Planta 11) 28046 Madrid, Spain

T: 00 34 / 91 567 24 03

F: 00 34 / 91 598 06 24

E: atencionalcliente@ecoembes.com

www.ecoembes.com

COUNTRY	SPAIN
Name of the system	Ecoembes
Founded	1996
Scope of business	Household Packaging excluding glass; commercial & industrial packaging only if collected by Municipalities
Number of authorized Household systems	1 for plastic/paper/metals + 1 for glass
Financial share of producer responsibility	Additional costs for separate collection and sorting
Operational responsibility for collection	Local Authority
Operational responsibility for sorting	Local Authority
Operational responsibility for marketing	EcoEmbes (via call for tender)
Number of contributing companies	12623
Income from obliged companies	642,812 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	99,99%
Number of inhabitants	46,72 million
- with access to infrastructure	46,57(paper/cardboard), 46,61 (lightweight packing)
Costs per included inhabitant	13,76€
Cost average per recovered ton	401,9€

n/a	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET
1876,270kt = 85,2%	(thereof household packaging Ecoembes)

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM n/a

11599,5kt = 85,2%	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
653,4 kt = 83,8%	Paper
688,3 kt = 88,7%	Plastics
included in paper	Composites
249,4 kt = 83%	Tinplate
included in tinplate	Aluminum

	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING
er 631,7 kt = 80,2%	Paper
	Plastics* *mechanical recycling
included in paper	Composites
249,4% = 83,1%	Tinplate
included in tinplate	Aluminum

#### SPAIN

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)





COLLECTION	
Glass	Included in Ecovidrio section
Paper	Collected via container stations*  'together with newspapers
Plastic	Container stations
Beverage Cartons	Collected together with metals and plastic
Metal packaging	Collected via container stations

#### SPAIN



## ecovidrio

Ecovidrio
General Oraa, 3 - 2. 28006 Madrid (Spain)
T: 00 34 91 411 83 44
E: info@ecovidrio.es
www.ecovidrio.es

COUNTRY	SPAIN
Name of the system	Ecovidrio *
Founded	1997
Scope of business	Glass packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	1 for plastic/paper/metals (Ecoembes) + 1 for glass (Ecovidria
Financial share of producer responsibility	Total Costs
Operational responsibility for collection	Local Entities/Ecovidrio
Operational responsibility for sorting	n/a
Operational responsibility for marketing	Local Entities/Ecovidrio
Number of contributing companies	+8000
Income from obliged companies	52 million €
Coverage of the country (territory)	99%
Number of inhabitants	47 million
- with access to infrastructure	99%
Costs per included inhabitant	1,24€
Cost average per recovered ton	72,8€

#### SPAIN

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET	n/a
(thereof household packaging)	n/a

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN
THE SYSTEM 48% of collection

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE RECYCLING (VIA CONTAINER)

/ 0.0% (official data Eurost<u>at 2018</u>)

Additional tons recycled (via other sources monitored by Ecovidrio, collaboration plans to collect and recycle glass from non-municipal channels): 297 tons (2015)

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)







COLLECTION	
Glass	Curbside containers* *no colour separation
Paper	n/a
Plastics	n/a
Beverage Cartons	n/a
Metal packaging	n/a

#### SWEDEN





Förpacknings- och Tidningsinsamlingen (FTI) Box 17033, S-104 62 Stockholm Sweden

(visiting address: Magnus Ladulåsgatan 63A, Stockholm)

T: (+46) 8 566 144 00

F: (+46) 8 566 144 44

E: mailto:repainfo@ftiab.se

www.ftiab.se/english

COUNTRY SWEDEN	
Name of the system FTI	
Founded 1994	
Scope of business All Packaging	
authorized Household systems 2 (no formal author	ization)
chare of producer responsibility 100%	
nal responsibility for collection FTI	
tional responsibility for sorting FTI and its owner	'S
nal responsibility for marketing Local authorities	
per of contributing companies 9,000	
ome from obliged companies 80 million €	
erage of the country (territory) 100%	
Number of inhabitants 10 million	
- with access to infrastructure 10 million	
Costs per included inhabitant 8€	
ost average per recovered ton n/a	

#### TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACKAGING PUT ON THE MARKET

approx. 1000 k

(thereof household packaging)

n/a

(excluding alu cans and PET bottles that are covered by deposit scheme)

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM

not reported

n/a	TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY
217 kt = 93%	Glass
439% = 75%	Paper
107 kt = 49%	Plastics
included in paper	Composites
36 kt	Tinplate & Aluminum

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	n/a
Glass	n/a
Pape cartons	n/a
Plastics* *mechanical recycling	n/a
Composites	n/a
Tinplate	n/a
Aluminum	n/a

#### **SWEDEN**

OTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

OTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a





COLLECTION	
Glass	Packaging of paper, carton, drink
Paper	cartons, corrugated cardboard (newspapers and other Old News and
Plastics	Pams are collected in a separate stream)
Metal	- Stream in

#### EXPRA MEMBERS THE NETHERLANDS



#### **Afvalfonds** Verpakkingen

Afvalfonds Verpakkingen Postbus 1266 2260 BG LEIDSCHENDAM Overgoo 13 2266 JZ LEIDSCHENDAM T +31707620266 M +31 6 123 800 15 www.afvalfondsverpakkingen.nl

COUNTRY	The Netherlands
Name of the system	Afvalfonds Verpakkingen
Founded	2012
Scope of business	All Packaging
Number of authorized Household systems	1
Financial share of producer responsibility	100%
Operational responsibility for collection	Municipalities (B2C) and companies (B2B)
Operational responsibility for sorting	Municipalities (B2C) and companies (B2B)
Operational responsibility for marketing	Municipalities (B2C) and companies (B2B)
Number of contributing companies	9000
Income from obliged companies	250,000,000 €
Coverage of the country (territory)	100%
Number of inhabitants	17,3 million
- with access to infrastructure	17,3 million
Costs per included inhabitant	14,45 €
Cost average per recovered ton	n/a (recovery is not measure

# TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACK AGING PUT ON THE MARKET (thereof household packaging) N/a HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN THE SYSTEM n/a (is not measured)

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	n/a
Glass	n/a
Paper	n/a
Plastics	n/a
Composites	n/a
Tinplate	n/a
Aluminum	n/a
TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	n/a
Glass	87%
Paper	91%
Plastics	57%
Composites	-
Metals	-
Metals  Aluminum	-

#### THE NETHERLANDS

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/o

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)







COLLECTION	
Glass	100% separated (containers)
Paper	separated (retail), mixed with newsprint (offices)
Plastics	100% separated
Beverage Cartons	
Metal packaging	both separated and recovery out of the bottom ash of incinerated mixed waste

#### **TURKEY**





Cenap Sahabettin Sk. No: 94 Kosuyolu Kadiköy - Istanbul / Türkiye

Tel: +90 (216) 428 78 90 - 94 Fax: +90 (216) 428 78 95

Web: http://www.cevko.org.tr/ E-mail: cevko@cevko.org.tr

Y Turkey	COUNTRY Turkey
n CEVKO	Name of the system CEVKO
d 1991	Founded 1991
SS All Packagi	Scope of business All Packaging
ns 4	thorized Household systems 4
2y Additional	re of producer responsibility Additional Costs
n Local Auth	responsibility for collection Local Authority
g Local Autr	nal responsibility for sorting Local Authority
g Waste Manag	responsibility for marketing
es <b>1785</b>	of contributing companies 1785
9,23 million	e from obliged companies 9,23 million €
y) 32%	ge of the country (territory) 32%
s 82 million	Number of inhabitants 82 million
re 26,75 millio	with access to infrastructure 26,75 million
nt <b>0,34€</b>	sts per included inhabitant 0,34€
n 16,54€	average per recovered ton 16,54€

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PACKAGING PUT ON THE MARKET	n/a
(thereof household packaging)	n/a

HH PACKAGING PARTICIPATING IN
THE SYSTEM not reported

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY	557,7 kt
Glass	102,1 kt
Paper	217,7 kt
Plastics	162,1 kt
Composites	17,2 kt
Tinplate	18,5 kt
Aluminum	17,9 kt
Wood	22 kt

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECYCLING	557, 7 kt
Glass	102,1 kt
Pape cartons	217,7 kt
Plastics* *mechanical recycling	162,1 kt
Composites	18,5 kt
Tinplate	17,9 kt
Aluminum	22 kt

#### TURKEY

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION

n/a

TOTAL AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY (RECYCLING + RECOVERY WITH INCINERATION)

n/a

COLLECTION	
Glass	
Paper	Container Stations, Bins, Bags
Plastics	
Metal	